IMPACT: International Journal of Research in
Humanities, Arts and Literature (IMPACT: IJRHAL)
ISSN (P): 2347–4564; ISSN (E): 2321–8878
Vol. 14, Issue 7, Jul 2026, 1–10
© Impact Journals

SILENT EMERGENCIES: MATERNAL FEAR, LOVE, AND INVISIBLE LABOUR IN *NOORU SAAMI* AND *MOTHA RATHREE*

Dr. Uma Rajmohan

Ed – Tech Director, NedX Academy

Received: 08 Jul 2026 **Accepted:** 20 Jul 2026 **Published:** 22 Jul 2026

ABSTRACT

Silent suffering has long been a defining motif in literature, where women are portrayed as enduring pain invisibly, their sacrifices sanctified but rarely acknowledged. From Sita's exile in the Ramayana to Gandhari's self-imposed blindness in the Mahabharata, female figures embody resilience while their voices remain unheard. This literary continuum establishes the paradox of reverence and erasure: women are elevated as symbols of strength, yet their suffering is silenced under cultural expectation.

Extending this tradition into cinema, Nooru Saamy and Motha Rathree dramatize the invisible emergencies mothers endure. In Nooru Saamy, the mother herself is the Saamy — a living god whose divinity lies not in miraculous intervention but in continuous, unseen labour. "Nooru" signifies multiplicity, countless mothers whose sacrifices sustain families, while "Saamy" sanctifies her role as protector and life-giver. Yet, this sanctification denies her vulnerability, forcing her to cope silently. In Motha Rathree, maternal love takes the form of preventive protection, where fear of external dangers leads to restrictions that the daughter interprets as mistrust.

Together, the films illuminate the maternal paradox: protection versus control, divinity versus humanity, silence versus survival. By situating these narratives within feminist film theory and literary archetypes, the study reframes mothers as "silent architects" of stability, echoing the cultural refrain, "Nooru saamigal irundhaalum / amma unai pol aagiduma" ("Even if there are a hundred gods, can any match a mother?"). Ultimately, the films invite us to rethink motherhood not as duty or divinity, but as a complex human experience deserving recognition, empathy, and structural support.

KEYWORDS: *Motherhood in Indian Cinema, Feminist Film Theory, Emotional Labour, Maternal Fear, Cultural Expectations, Strong Woman Paradox, Invisible Emergencies, Nooru Saami, Motha Rathree, Maternal Divinity.*

INTRODUCTION

Silent suffering has been a recurring motif in literature, where women are portrayed as enduring pain invisibly, their sacrifices sanctified but rarely acknowledged. In epics and folklore, female figures embody resilience while their voices remain unheard: Sita's exile in the *Ramayana* becomes a symbol of endurance, Gandhari's self-imposed blindness in the *Mahabharata* epitomises silent sacrifice, and countless mothers in Tamil oral traditions are praised as divine yet denied vulnerability. These archetypes establish a paradox that literature has long carried forward — women elevated as symbols of strength, yet their suffering erased under cultural expectation.

Cinema inherits and reworks this literary continuum. In Indian film narratives, the mother is often portrayed as the moral anchor of the family, embodying sacrifice, resilience, and unconditional love. Yet beneath this idealised image lies a more complex reality: the invisible emotional emergencies mothers endure, often without recognition or relief. These struggles are rarely dramatic enough to be noticed, but they shape the everyday survival of families and reveal the unacknowledged labour that sustains social structures.

Nooru Saamy and *Motha Rathree* extend this tradition into cinematic form. In *Nooru Saamy*, the mother herself is the *Saamy* — a living god whose divinity lies not in miraculous intervention but in continuous, unseen labour. "Nooru" signifies multiplicity, countless mothers whose sacrifices sustain families, while "Saamy" sanctifies her role as protector and life-giver. In *Motha Rathree*, maternal love takes the form of preventive protection, where fear of external dangers leads to restrictions that the daughter interprets as mistrust. Together, the films illuminate the maternal paradox of protection versus freedom, divinity versus humanity, and silence versus survival.

This paper argues that *Nooru Saamy* and *Motha Rathree* dramatise the invisible emotional emergencies mothers endure, offering a cinematic lens into the unacknowledged labour of motherhood. By situating these narratives within feminist film theory, psychological analysis, and literary archetypes, the study highlights how maternal love, when complicated by fear, becomes both the mother's greatest strength and her most unrecognised burden.

THEORETICAL LENS

Feminist Theory: Emotional Labour and the "Strong Mother" Paradox

The title *Nooru Saamy* can be read as a layered feminist metaphor. "Nooru" (hundred) signifies multiplicity, suggesting countless mothers whose sacrifices sustain families across generations. "Saamy" invokes divinity, positioning the mother herself as godlike — a living deity whose everyday endurance is sanctified as duty. The cultural refrain *"Nooru saamigal irundhaalum / amma unai pol aagiduma"* ("Even if there are a hundred gods, can any match a mother?") crystallizes this reverence, elevating the maternal figure above divine archetypes.

Yet, this sanctification is paradoxical. By being elevated to godhood, the mother is denied her humanity. Her exhaustion, fear, and vulnerability are erased under the label of "strength." Once society proclaims her divine, she is expected to cope without complaint, to endure without collapse, and to sacrifice without recognition. This paradox reflects what feminist theorists describe as the invisibility of emotional labour: the unacknowledged work of sustaining relationships, absorbing fear, and maintaining stability, all while appearing perpetually strong.

The films dramatize this paradox vividly. In *Nooru Saamy*, the mother's divinity is portrayed as both her strength and her burden. She is the protector, the "walking god," yet her invisible suffering remains unspoken. In *Motha Rathree*, maternal love manifests as preventive protection, but this godlike vigilance fractures trust when interpreted as control. Both narratives reveal how sanctification can silence — reverence becomes erasure, and divinity becomes denial.

From a feminist perspective, the "strong mother" paradox is not simply cultural but structural. It reflects patriarchal expectations that valorize women's sacrifices while refusing to acknowledge their costs. The mother is celebrated as the moral anchor of the family, yet she is denied the right to collapse, to seek care, or to be recognized as an individual beyond her caregiving role. In this sense, *Nooru Saamy* and *Motha Rathree* critique the cultural script that glorifies maternal sacrifice while perpetuating its invisibility.

Psychological Lens

Maternal fear is distinctive because it is never confined to the mother's own safety; it multiplies through her children. Every danger imagined for them becomes an extension of her own vulnerability. The symbolism of *Nooru* (hundred) amplifies this multiplicity: hundreds of dangers imagined, hundreds of burdens carried, hundreds of nights spent awake. Each child becomes a mirror through which her anxieties are refracted, creating a permanent state of emotional emergency.

In *Nooru Saamy*, this multiplication is dramatised through the mother's vigilance. Her protective instincts are heightened to the point of constant watchfulness, revealing how maternal love transforms into perpetual anxiety. The external threat in the film is only a surface metaphor; the deeper crisis lies in the mother's inability to ever feel secure. Her fear is not episodic but continuous, a psychological condition of living for others.

In *Motha Rathree*, maternal fear manifests as preventive protection. The mother anticipates dangers her daughter cannot yet perceive, translating her anxieties into restrictions. What she intends as care is misinterpreted as mistrust, creating tension between maternal love and the child's desire for autonomy. The film highlights how maternal fear, though rooted in love, can fracture relationships when expressed as control.

From a psychological perspective, this multiplication of fear reflects the phenomenon of **emotional contagion within families**. The mother's anxieties ripple outward, shaping the emotional climate of the household. Her vigilance, while protective, can inadvertently transmit insecurity to her children. This dynamic underscores the paradox: maternal fear is both a shield and a burden, sustaining safety while destabilising trust.

Ultimately, both films reveal that maternal fear is not simply an individual emotion but a **collective psychological state**, multiplied through children and sustained by cultural expectations. It is love transformed into vigilance, protection complicated by anxiety, and sacrifice rendered invisible because it is normalised as maternal duty.

Cultural Lens

Culturally, *Nooru Saamy* resonates with long-standing traditions of sanctifying mothers as divine figures. In Indian family structures, the mother is often portrayed as *Saamy* — a living god whose sacrifices are normalised as duty. This sanctification elevates her role beyond the ordinary, but it also erases her vulnerability. Once she is positioned as divine, her exhaustion, fear, and need for support are rendered illegitimate. She is expected to embody invulnerability, to endure silently, and to sustain the family without recognition.

The title itself, *Nooru Saamy*, embodies this cultural paradox. "Nooru" signifies multiplicity — countless mothers across generations, each carrying invisible burdens. "Saamy" invokes divinity, suggesting that every mother is a walking god. The cultural refrain *"Nooru saamigal irundhaalum / amma unai pol aagiduma"* ("Even if there are a hundred gods, can any match a mother?") crystallises this reverence. Yet, the films complicate this reverence by showing that sanctification also becomes a burden: divinity denies humanity, and reverence silences suffering.

In *Motha Rathree*, this cultural expectation manifests as a form of preventive protection. The mother's fear of the outside world, shaped by lived experience and cultural norms, leads her to restrict her daughter's freedom. What is intended as care becomes misinterpreted as mistrust. This tension reflects a broader cultural script in which maternal sacrifice is glorified, but maternal suffering is silenced.

Together, the films expose how Indian family structures perpetuate the paradox of maternal divinity. Mothers are revered as protectors and life-givers, yet their emotional labour is invisible. Their sacrifices are normalised as duty, while their suffering is erased under the label of strength. By portraying mothers as *Saamy*, both films critique the cultural expectation that women must embody godhood without relief, revealing the urgent need to recognise motherhood not as divinity or duty, but as a complex human experience deserving empathy and support.

CASE STUDY: NOORU SAAMY

At the narrative surface, *Nooru Saamy* dramatizes an external emergency involving children. Yet, the deeper resonance lies in how this visible danger functions as a metaphor for the mother's internal crisis. The external enemy is temporary, but the mother's psychological enemy — her constant vigilance, exhaustion, and emotional burden — remains permanent.

The film positions the mother as *Saamy*, a divine protector whose invisible labour sustains family life. She is not divine because of miraculous intervention, but because of her continuous, unacknowledged endurance. Her divinity, however, denies her the right to collapse, revealing the paradox of maternal godhood: once sanctified as godlike, her suffering becomes illegitimate, her exhaustion invisible, and her vulnerability erased.

The narrative uses the external threat as a **cinematic device** to expose the invisible battles mothers fight daily. Each confrontation dramatises years of silent endurance — the sleepless nights, the unspoken fears, the sacrifices that remain unseen. In this sense, the thriller framework masks a feminist critique: the true emergency is not the visible confrontation but the invisible labour of sustaining family life under constant emotional strain.

From a literary perspective, the mother in *Nooru Saamy* echoes archetypes such as Durga, the fierce protector, and Gandhari, the silent sacrificer. She embodies both strength and silence, divinity and denial. The cultural refrain *"Nooru saamigal irundhaalum / amma unai pol aagiduma"* ("Even if there are a hundred gods, can any match a mother?") functions almost like a chorus, elevating her above gods while simultaneously burdening her with expectations of invulnerability.

Ultimately, *Nooru Saamy* critiques the cultural script that glorifies maternal sacrifice while silencing maternal suffering. It insists that the mother's invisible labour is the true battlefield — one fought without witnesses, headlines, or recognition, yet essential to the survival of the family.

CASE STUDY: MOTHA RATHREE

Motha Rathree depicts the mother's role as one of preventive protection. Unlike *Nooru Saamy*, where the mother responds to visible threats, here she anticipates dangers her daughter cannot yet perceive. Her vigilance is rooted in lived experience — memories of past risks, cultural warnings, and the weight of generational fear. This foresight, however, risks being misinterpreted as mistrust. The daughter resists, interpreting boundaries as oppression, and the relationship becomes strained.

The film dramatises the paradox of maternal love: protection versus freedom, care versus autonomy. The mother's restrictions are born of love, yet they fracture trust when the daughter perceives them as control. This tension reflects a broader cultural script in which maternal sacrifice is glorified, but maternal fear is silenced or dismissed.

From a psychological perspective, the mother's preventive vigilance illustrates how fear can be transmitted across generations. Her anxieties ripple outward, shaping the emotional climate of the household. What she intends as safety becomes experienced by the child as limitation, creating a cycle of conflict between maternal care and youthful autonomy.

From a literary perspective, the mother in *Motha Rathree* echoes archetypes of the tragic guardian — figures who protect by restricting, whose love is expressed through boundaries rather than freedom. She resembles Gandhari in the *Mahabharata*, whose self-imposed blindness was meant as sacrifice but became symbolic of silence and denial. Similarly, the mother's protective fear is misunderstood, turning her into both protector and jailer.

Culturally, the film critiques the expectation that mothers must absorb fear silently while ensuring safety at all costs. By portraying preventive protection as both care and conflict, *Motha Rathree* exposes the paradox of maternal divinity: she is sanctified as godlike, yet her humanity — her right to be vulnerable, to trust, to release control — is denied.

Ultimately, *Motha Rathree* reveals that maternal love, when complicated by fear, can fracture relationships. It insists that society must recognise the invisible burden of mothers who live in a permanent state of vigilance, not only glorify their sacrifice.

COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS: NOORU SAAMY AND MOTHA RATHREE

The two films present motherhood as a paradoxical battlefield, but the nature of that battlefield differs significantly.

Nature of Protection

- In *Nooru Saamy*, protection is **reactive**. The mother responds to visible threats against her children, dramatising how external danger becomes a metaphor for her internal crisis. Each confrontation is layered over years of silent endurance, showing that her divinity lies not in miraculous rescue but in continuous vigilance.
- In *Motha Rathree*, protection is **preventive**. The mother anticipates dangers her daughter cannot yet perceive, translating her anxieties into restrictions. Her foresight, born of lived experience, risks being misinterpreted as mistrust.

Emotional Burden

- In *Nooru Saamy*, the emotional burden is one of **invisible exhaustion**. The mother's suffering remains hidden beneath her strength, unacknowledged until collapse.
- In *Motha Rathree*, the burden is **misinterpreted as fear**. Her protective anxiety, rooted in love, is seen by the daughter as oppression, creating tension between care and autonomy.

Child's Perception

- In *Nooru Saamy*, the child is largely **unaware** of the mother's silent labour. Her sacrifices remain unseen, her exhaustion invisible.
- In *Motha Rathree*, the daughter is **resistant**, interpreting boundaries as control. This resistance dramatises the generational gap between maternal fear and youthful autonomy.

Maternal Paradox

- In *Nooru Saamy*, the paradox is the **sacrifice of self**. The mother erases her own needs to keep the family intact, embodying divinity through invisibility.
- In *Motha Rathree*, the paradox is the **sacrifice of trust and freedom**. The mother restricts her daughter's independence to ensure safety, even at the cost of their relationship.

Together, the films reveal two faces of the same maternal struggle: one invisible, one misunderstood.

SYNTHESIS

Together, the films reveal two faces of the same maternal struggle: one **invisible**, one **misunderstood**. *Nooru Saamy* foregrounds the silent exhaustion of reactive protection, while *Motha Rathree*dramatises the misinterpreted fear of preventive protection. Both expose the paradox of maternal godhood, sanctified as divine, yet denied humanity.

From a **literary perspective**, the mothers echo archetypes of Durga (protector), Sita (sufferer), and Gandhari (silent sacrificer). From a **feminist perspective**, they embody the "strong mother" paradox, where reverence becomes erasure. From a **psychological perspective**, they illustrate how maternal fear multiplies through children, shaping relationships and emotional climates.

Ultimately, the comparative frame insists that motherhood is not a singular narrative but a spectrum of paradoxes, protection versus freedom, divinity versus humanity, silence versus survival.

LITERARY PERSPECTIVE

The films *Nooru Saamy* and *Motha Rathree* echo deep literary archetypes that have long defined the portrayal of women and mothers in Indian tradition. The mother figure is cast in roles that resonate across myth, folklore, and modern literature:

- **Durga as Protector:** The mother embodies the fierce guardian, warding off danger with vigilance and sacrifice. In *Nooru Saamy*, this archetype is dramatised through her reactive protective stance, in which external threats mirror her inner battles.
- **Sita as Sufferer:** Like Sita's endurance in exile, the mother's suffering is sanctified but rarely acknowledged as injustice. In both films, maternal pain is normalised as duty, echoing the silent endurance of literary heroines.
- **Gandhari as Silent Sacrificer:** Gandhari's self-imposed blindness symbolizes sacrifice that erases agency. Similarly, the mothers in these films silently absorb fear and exhaustion, protecting their families while denying themselves recognition.

The refrain *"Nooru saamigal irundhaalum / amma unai pol aagiduma"* ("Even if there are a hundred gods, can any match a mother?") functions almost like a **chorus in oral literature**. It elevates the mother above divine figures, sanctifying her as the ultimate life-giver. Yet, as in tragic drama, this sanctification becomes double-edged: reverence denies vulnerability, and divinity erases humanity.

Literary tropes of **paradox and silence** also shape these narratives. The mother embodies:

- The **guardian versus jailer paradox**, where protection risks becoming control.
- The **divinity versus humanity paradox**, where sanctification denies weakness.
- The **voice versus silence paradox**, where her actions speak but her suffering remains unheard.

In this sense, the films can be read as **texts of resistance**, where maternal silence is not weakness but a strategy of survival. Like tragic heroines across literary traditions, these mothers transform silence into strength, embodying resilience in the face of erasure.

By situating the cinematic mothers within this literary continuum, *Nooru Saamy* and *Motha Rathree* highlight how cultural storytelling perpetuates the paradox of maternal godhood: recognition without relief, reverence without empathy.

FEMINIST READING

Mothers in *Nooru Saamy* and *Motha Rathree* can be understood as the **"silent architects" of family stability**. They absorb fear, exhaustion, and responsibility so that the household appears functional and secure. Yet, this very invisibility is the tragedy: society measures their contribution through outcomes, educated children, a fed family, a safe home- but rarely acknowledges the emotional cost of producing that normality. As the text poignantly observes, *"The family sees the result. The woman lives the cost."*

From a feminist perspective, this invisibility reflects the **structural undervaluing of women's emotional labour**. Emotional labour, as theorised by Arlie Hochschild, refers to the hidden work of managing emotions, sustaining relationships, and maintaining stability. In patriarchal cultures, this labour is normalised as maternal duty, while its toll is erased under the label of "strength." Once a woman is praised as strong, her vulnerability becomes illegitimate; she is expected to cope without complaint, to endure without collapse, and to sacrifice without recognition.

Both films critique this paradox. In *Nooru Saamy*, the mother's exhaustion remains unseen until danger forces recognition. Her divinity as *Saamy* — the walking god — is celebrated, but her humanity is denied. In *Motha Rathree*, maternal love manifests as preventive protection, yet her fear is misinterpreted as mistrust, turning love into conflict. These narratives expose how reverence can become erasure: sanctification denies vulnerability, and divinity silences suffering.

This reading situates the mothers not as passive victims but as **figures of resistance**. Their silence is not weakness but a deliberate strategy of survival — protecting children from fear even as they themselves live in a permanent state of emotional emergency. In this sense, maternal silence echoes the resilience of tragic heroines in literature, where endurance becomes a form of agency.

By foregrounding this paradox, the films challenge patriarchal narratives that celebrate maternal sacrifice while denying mothers the right to collapse, to be cared for, or to be recognized as individuals beyond their caregiving role. They insist that motherhood must be reimagined not as divinity or duty, but as a **complex human experience** deserving empathy, recognition, and structural support.

CONCLUSION

Both *Nooru Saamy* and *Motha Rathree* highlight the **unseen maternal emergencies** that shape family life but rarely receive recognition. Whether reactive or preventive, the mother's protection is rooted in love yet complicated by fear, sacrifice, and cultural expectation. These films reveal that the true battlefield of motherhood is not the dramatic confrontation with external danger, but the **silent, invisible labour of sustaining stability while carrying unacknowledged emotional burdens**.

From a **literary perspective**, the mothers echo archetypes of Durga as protector, Sita as sufferer, and Gandhari as silent sacrificer. The refrain *"Nooru saamigal irundhaalum / amma unai pol aagiduma"* ("Even if there are a hundred gods, can any match a mother?") functions like a chorus, elevating the mother above gods while simultaneously burdening her with expectations of invulnerability.

From a **feminist perspective**, the films critique the "strong mother" paradox: once sanctified as divine, her suffering becomes illegitimate, her exhaustion invisible, and her vulnerability erased. Emotional labour is normalised as duty, while its cost is denied.

From a **psychological perspective**, maternal fear multiplies through children, creating a permanent state of emotional emergency. In *Nooru Saamy*, this fear manifests as reactive vigilance, while in *Motha Rathree*, it becomes preventive restriction, which is misinterpreted as mistrust.

From a **cultural perspective**, the films expose how Indian family structures glorify maternal sacrifice while silencing maternal suffering. Mothers are revered as *Saamy*, walking gods, yet denied the right to collapse, to be cared for, or to be recognised as individuals beyond their caregiving role.

Together, the films raise urgent questions:

- When does protection become control?
- How can guardianship evolve into trust without erasing maternal fear?
- What structures must society create to recognise and support the invisible labour of mothers?

By dramatising these paradoxes, *Nooru Saamy* and *Motha Rathree* move beyond conventional hero narratives, foregrounding the mother as both protector and silent sufferer. They remind us that while the family sees the result, it is the woman who lives the cost. The challenge, then, is not only to revere mothers as greater than gods, but to build **social and cultural structures that acknowledge the cost behind divinity and provide relief for the invisible emergencies they endure**.

REFERENCES

1. *Hochschild, Arlie Russell. The Managed Heart: Commercialisation of Human Feeling. University of California Press, 1983.*
2. *Chodorow, Nancy. The Reproduction of Mothering: Psychoanalysis and the Sociology of Gender. University of California Press, 1978.*

3. *Mulvey, Laura. "Visual Pleasure and Narrative Cinema." Screen, vol. 16, no. 3, 1975, pp. 6–18.*
4. *Nandy, Ashis. The Intimate Enemy: Loss and Recovery of Self under Colonialism. Oxford University Press, 1983.*
5. *Rajadhyaksha, Ashish, and Paul Willemen. Encyclopaedia of Indian Cinema. British Film Institute, 1999.*
6. *Kapur, Geeta. When Was Modernism: Essays on Contemporary Cultural Practice in India. Tulika, 2000.*
7. *Gokulsing, K. Moti, and Wimal Dissanayake. Indian Popular Cinema: A Narrative of Cultural Change. Trentham Books, 2004.*